UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,169	02/26/2002	Petri Hyyppa	042933/299815	5147
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 04/09/200 RD LLP	EXAMINER		
	ERICA PLAZA	IQBAL, KHAWAR		
	RYON STREET, SUIT NC 28280-4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/083,169	HYYPPA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KHAWAR IQBAL	2617		

	KHAWAR IQBAL	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the con	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the state of the sta			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but		•	
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/George Eng/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed in the 02-15-08 Remarks have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner has thoroughly reviewed applicant's arguments but firmly believes the cited reference to reasonably and properly meets the claimed limitations. Applicant's argument was that "Neither of these disclosures relates to automatically inserting transaction information in response to recognition at a user equipment of incoming data as an information entity including data fields or determining at a user equipment that an incoming information entity has been sent by a trusted party". Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. Breck teaches that when cardholder 1 is logging in a card provider's web site (see para, # 0067, lines 5-7 and fig. 5), after authenticating a cardholder 1, the card provider 3 automatically filled the payment fields 144, 146, 148 in FIG. 7 on the payment web page 2b in FIG. 7. Furthermore, examiner considers the claimed "or" in line 4 of the claim 1 as simple alternative "or", therefore, examiner selects only the claimed "automatically inserting transaction information in response to recognition at a user equipment of incoming data as an information entity including data fields". In other word, examiner does not need to consider the citation "determining at a user equipment that an incoming information entity has been sent by a trusted party". Further Breek states that the cardholder 1 drags and drops, or auto-fills the STN 15 (and needed information) into the appropriate merchant payment field (step 312, fig. 15, para. # 0105), the cardholder 1 will hit "check out" and the smartchip payments checkout process may auto-generate and auto-fill the STN 15 and transaction information into the appropriate payment field (an applet may be read off of the smartcard to transfer number to merchant site.) (see para. # 0077-0078) and the cardholder 1 is hyperlinked automatically to a card provider's web site to log in 130 (FIG. 5), which resides on and is managed by the card provider's user interface system 4 (e.g., web server), and, upon logging in, obtains a STN 15 that may then be automatically filled by downloaded from a digital wallet into the payment fields 144, 146, 148 (FIG. 7) on the payment web page 2b (para. # 0067).

Applicant argument against Laage was that "Neither of these disclosures relates to automatically inserting transaction information in response to recognition at a user equipment of incoming data as an information entity including data fields or determining at a user equipment that an incoming information entity has been sent by a trusted party". Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. In page 6, paragraph 0078, Laage teaches that the fields required by the merchant site are automatically filled out after the user is authenticated. It clearly means that transaction information is automatically inserted in response to recognition of incoming data as an information entity including data fields at user equipment. Furthermore, examiner considers the claimed "or" in line 4 of the claim 1 as simple alternative "or", therefore, examiner selects only the claimed "automatically inserting transaction information in response to recognition at a user equipment of incoming data as an information entity including data fields". In other word, examiner does not need to consider the citation "determining at a user equipment that an incoming information entity has been sent by a trusted party". Note: breath of claims do not define over Breck and Laage.